



**AMERICAN LEADERSHIP & POLICY
FOUNDATION**

• PURE SOLUTIONS FOR A STRONG AMERICA •

BLUE PAPER 2-4

UN SECURITY COUNCIL: GLOBAL LEVIATHAN UNRESTRAINED

By Jason Kindree, Guest Researcher

The UN – Ally or Enemy?

The United Nations and its military apparatus – the UN Security Council (UNSC) – created after WWII, markets itself as the best, last hope of mankind. It sets forth its central function as the seat of global power of the UN, “...established to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war.”¹ It was founded in October 1945 during the San Francisco conference, effectively creating a grand alliance between the great powers under the aegis of American leadership. The UNSC, under

Chapter VII and other sections of the UN Charter, constitutes the central mechanism of global governance within a military framework.

American statesman Reuben J. Clark, who served as Under Secretary of State under Calvin Coolidge, once wrote that the creation and founding of the UN was obscured from the American people.² Much of the maneuvering and private discussion during the process of conceptualizing and creating the UN took place under a cloud of secrecy and sophistry, keeping key facts and information hidden in order to ensure the global organization would be accepted by the American public. There was deep concern that the U.S. would not join another version of a global organization, rendering the second attempt just as irrelevant and ineffective as the League of Nations was after WWI. However, the UN is now much more than just a conclave of nations determined to maintain global peace through diplomacy, making this a prime opportunity to issue a warning and to examine the history and development of the UN and the UNSC for what it really is – a global imperial organization designed to exert power over nation states and the peoples of the world.

Current Case Studies

It seems especially appropriate to take a closer look at the UNSC given that Russia was the President of the UNSC for its monthly rotation in April 2023 even as their invasion of Ukraine was dragging into its 14th month. There has been strong condemnation and international outrage at this occurrence, especially from Ukraine. The Wall Street Journal described it as

¹ Declaration on the Commemoration of the Seventy-fifth Anniversary of the United Nations.

<https://www.un.org/pga/74/wp-content/uploads/sites/99/2020/06/200625-UN75-highlight.pdf>

² Clark, R.J. (1962) *Stand fast by our Constitution* (p. 162). Deseret Book Company, UT.

“another grotesque moral milestone.”³ Officials have stated that the procedures of the UNSC cannot be modified, demonstrating once again the lumbering incompetence and moral relativity of a global organization with immense scope and authority. The last time Russia held the rotating monthly presidency was in February 2022⁴, which was the same month Russia invaded Ukraine. Given that Russia is a member of the Permanent 5 (P5), which historian Niall Ferguson referred to as “a cozy club of past empires,”⁵ this means that Russia holds veto authority on any measure brought to the attention of the Council, and that Russia also played a leading role in setting the agenda and shaping the discussion of the international community until May. UN proponents typically excuse the sickening ineptitude of the organization with the weak argument that there is no other option or solution available, and that it still does more good than harm around the world in the grand scheme of things.

To make matters more interesting, the International Criminal Court recently issued an arrest warrant for Russian President Vladimir Putin for alleged war crimes during the war in Ukraine. This marks the first time that the ICC, which is an organ of the UN, has taken this type of measure against a national leader whose country is a permanent member of the Security Council.⁶ The ICC also issued an arrest warrant for Maria Lvova-Belova, the Russian Presidential Commissioner for Children’s Rights, to face accusations of war crimes in relation to the unlawful deportation and transfer of children from occupied areas of

Ukraine into Russia. Russia’s response was to send her to brief an informal meeting of the Security Council, where she would speak to a panel of international officials by video link. Many Western officials boycotted the meeting to protest her presence and refused to listen to her address.

Although the UNSC is the heart of any UN military activity, it should not be studied in isolation since the shifting limits of national sovereignty indicate a clear trend towards multilateralism. The UNSC operates in tandem with other UN organs, such as when the first peacekeeping operation, UNEF 1, was deployed in 1956 during the Suez Crisis. This action was mandated by the UN General Assembly instead of the UNSC.⁷ This workaround was necessary given that both Britain and France were P5 members who were embroiled in the conflict with Israel and Egypt.

Given the myopic and often narrow-minded approach to research and reporting within the industry, the media and academia have failed to see the bigger picture and evaluate the long-term historical development of the international body that lays claim to a monopoly on military force on a global scale. They have forgotten that the UNSC and its organs claim the right to intervene in case of *any* perceived threat to international security. According to Article 25 of the UN Charter, member states are obligated to carry out all decisions or directives which the Council determines, not just those under Chapter VII concerning military force or action.

³ *Russia Takes Charge at the UN Security Council*. Wall Street Journal (2023). Retrieved April 2023 from <https://www.wsj.com/articles/russia-takes-charge-at-the-u-n-vladimir-putin-security-council-war-china-north-korea-united-nations-weapons-iran-presidency-663af1dc>

⁴ *‘Absurd and Destructive.’ Zelensky slams Russia’s UN Security Council presidency*. Politico (2023). Retrieved April 12th, 2023, from <https://www.politico.eu/article/zelensky-united-nations-security-council-putin-russia-ukraine-war/>

⁵ *Empires with Expiration Dates*. Foreign Policy (2009). Retrieved April 2023 from <https://foreignpolicy.com/2009/10/14/empires-with-expiration-dates/>

⁶ *It’s Time for the United States to join the ICC*. Foreign Policy (2023). Retrieved April 2023 from https://foreignpolicy.com/2023/04/11/russia-putin-ukraine-war-icc-united-states-crimes-arrest-warrant/?tpcc=recirc_latest062921

⁷ Von Einsiedel, S, Malone, DM and Ugarte, BS (eds) (2015). *The UN Security Council in the 21st Century* (pp. 4-5). Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner

Nation States vs Global Governance

In his farewell address to the nation, George Washington warned of foreign entanglements, which included any alliances or membership in leagues that could be detrimental to the interests of the U.S. Since the early 20th Century, a significant portion of Americans have been skeptical of, or at least hesitant to, enter into short or longer-term military commitments and/or binding alliances. Washington espoused friendly relations with all nations without any encumbering commitments. Many Americans are also resistant to the idea of U.S. membership in foreign or global organizations. Yet, those who wish to safeguard our national sovereignty are often disparagingly labelled “isolationists” or described as those who espouse “isolationism.” These terms are a pejorative strawman designed to insult anyone who believes in Constitutional principles to protect domestic interests in relation to national sovereignty and territorial defense. What is implied is that the isolationist has no interest in global trade or commerce or other meaningful engagements such as foreign security alliances. Those who are termed isolationists are being tarred as close-minded and ignorant when it comes to international policy and affairs. It is one of the favorite tactics of pro-UN advocates who favor a larger global bureaucracy and more potent global government. In the process they obscure the reality that trade networks and security policies actually become less secure and can be manipulated to favor internationalist elitists rather than the common citizen. Just take a look at the southern border of the U.S. for clear evidence of this.

Subversive globalists have been revealing their hand for generations. On June 26, 1945, during the final session of the UN Conference at the San Francisco Opera House, President Truman set forth his vision for the UN Charter as a type of global constitution, comparing it to the U.S. Constitution.

It has already been said by many that this is only a first step to a lasting peace. That is true. The important thing is that all our thinking and all our actions be based on the realization that it is in fact only a first step. Let us all have it firmly in mind that we start today from a good beginning and, with our eye always on the final objective, let us march forward. The Constitution of my own country came from a Convention which--like this one--was made up of delegates with many different views. Like this Charter, our Constitution came from a free and sometimes bitter exchange of conflicting opinions. When it was adopted, no one regarded it as a perfect document. But it grew and developed and expanded. And upon it there was built a bigger, a better, a more perfect union. *This Charter, like our own Constitution, will be expanded and improved as time goes on.* No one claims that it is now a final or a perfect instrument. It has not been poured into any fixed mold. Changing world conditions will require readjustments--but they will be the readjustments of peace and not of war... (Italics added)⁸

As the number of operations and annual budget of the UNSC and other UN organs

⁸ San Francisco Address at Closing Session of the United Nations Conference. Retrieved March 2023, from

<https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/address-san-francisco-the-closing-session-the-united-nations-conference>

has steadily grown, they have increasingly called for private citizens to be disarmed, and for small arms to be gathered and destroyed, rendering citizens defenseless. Funding for UN military operations is typically independent of the main UN budget, as member states are expected to provide troops for peacekeeping missions.⁹ At the same time, the number of UNSC Resolutions and official communications have increased, with a growing number of topics such as climate change and COVID-19. Top international officials have become accustomed to airing their opinions and agendas directly to the UNSC, and the spectrum of issues the Council can monitor or evaluate as a threat to international peace and security continues to grow.

Assessing Hostility to National Sovereignty

The UNSC has no transparency and no checks and balances whatsoever. This proves that it cannot and should not be trusted. Why should a powerful global government entity that cannot police or manage its own membership due to self-imposed bureaucratic rules be given any authority or input into the wider international arena? The UNSC has less checks and balances than the average U.S. non-profit, and yet it wields the ability (and formal, legal authority) to use hard military power to maintain peace or compel nations to act against their sovereign will. This dynamic defies logic ... unless there is a wider agenda at work; and there clearly is.

The UN Charter and the U.S. Constitution are fundamentally incompatible at their core. One of the key differences is that the UN charter is based on a humanistic and godless worldview that espouses the brotherhood

and universal union of humanity. Careful study of UN official statements and communications demonstrate that this union will ideally and only be allowed to take place under UN leadership and control. But this core principle defies all logic and the evidence of thousands of years of history. Government is inherently oppressive. Only limited and restrained government can improve the human condition. Ideal government is held accountable and is intended to serve those who are governed. Governments without checks and balances are prone to be oppressive and dangerous because of the natural human tendency towards selfishness and corruption if presented the opportunity to operate undetected. The U.S. Constitution was founded upon these fundamental truths, and a more pragmatic understanding of human behavior with the foundation of God-given rights and limited government as its core tenets. That is why the U.S. government is supposed to be “by the people and for the people.”

The UN Charter and the various organs at their disposal have been calculated to incrementally pave the way for global governance and a world-wide tyranny under the ruse of progress and equality. While the wider UN agenda grows ever more imposing under the auspices of humanitarian interventionism, benevolence and compassion for those who are suffering, it should be understood that a wider agenda is being carried out to oppress and control humanity. For example, look closely at UN Agenda 21 and UN Agenda 2030 and their long-term objectives through Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) which seek to reorder relationships between human progress and natural resources, and actually impose many of the burdens on those

⁹ UN Peacekeeping Operations Factsheet
https://peacekeeping.un.org/sites/default/files/peacekeeping_missions_factsheet_246_nov2021_en.pdf

nations who would derive little to no benefits.¹⁰

Usurping National Destiny through UN Imperial Discourse

Agenda 21 was established as “a comprehensive plan of action to be taken globally, nationally and locally by organizations of the United Nations System, Governments and Major Groups in every area in which human impacts on the environment.”¹¹ This agenda, which stems from the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, held in June 1992, has expanded into the SDGs. In July 2023, the High-Level Political Forum (HLPF) on Sustainable Development is scheduled to take place in New York at UN headquarters under direction from the Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). The official theme is “Accelerating the recovery from the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the full implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development at all levels.”¹² As one reads through the 2030 Agenda, it becomes clear that there are no alternatives, and that opting out is not part of the program:

We emphasize the responsibilities of States, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, to respect, protect and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms for all ... We commit to making fundamental changes in the way that our societies produce and consume goods and services. Governments, international organizations, the business sector and other non-state actors and individuals must contribute to changing unsustainable consumption and production patterns, including through

the mobilization, from all sources, of financial and technical assistance to strengthen developing countries’ scientific, technological and innovative capacities to move towards more sustainable patterns of consumption and production.¹³

The UN has gradually unveiled an imperial socio-economic and socio-cultural agenda with far-reaching implications on a global scale. The use of the word *must* in the official remarks above demonstrate that the UN Charter is placed above national sovereignty and the will of societies at the national level. The UN SDG Agenda states that there is no other way for humanity to achieve its full potential.

Global Control of Energy & Resources

Furthermore, who benefits the most from green energy initiatives and regulations? The official narrative is that developing nations would be pulled up or brought into the emerging system as they become more energy efficient and adjust to this new rubric; however, they omit the fact that those states that cannot adhere to the requirements set forth in the SDGs will be penalized and left behind. If all nation states which are UN members (currently 193) were to strictly adhere to UN recommendations to realize the SDGs, it would result in only those nations which are wealthy having any hope of advancing. Rising states could not afford to keep pace with the onerous requirements of a “net-zero” economy saddled with an array of penalties. These reforms will also decimate the economies of more developed nations, hampering technological and

¹⁰ Transforming our world: 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Retrieved in April 2023 from <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

¹¹ Agenda 21. Retrieved in April 2023 from <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/outcomedocuments/agenda21>

¹² High-Level Political Forum 2023. Retrieved in April 2023 from <https://hlpf.un.org/2023>

¹³ 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, (paragraphs 19, 28). <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

economic growth and advancement for all member client states.¹⁴

Imperial Tipping Point

There is clearly more work and research to be done in this area, while an immediate task at hand should center on the detection, location, arrest and trial of domestic traitors and subversive agents as they boldly advance an organized, anti-democratic and imperial agenda. Until the U.S. withdraws funding from the UN and recognizes the threat stemming from global governance and its key proponents, decreased independence and national subversion at home will continue unabated. A formal panel or inquiry into anti-American activities would be helpful if it could be impartial and its members given the necessary protection and backing of the state.

There is great risk inherent in the UN and especially the UNSC, as it can be, and has already been, used as an arena for diplomatic maneuvering to facilitate Great Power Competition. Any facts or information that go against their larger global agenda or expose corruption and deceit tends to be labeled as “disinformation.” Those who oppose or even question the UN agenda will be labeled as extremists, nationalists, nativists, terrorists, white nationalists and supremacists, or isolationists. During a recent interview, U.S. Senator Tommy Tuberville of Alabama drew attention to this problem on a domestic level, when he said, “We, our military and [US Secretary of Defense Lloyd J. Austin] put out an order to stand down and all

military across the country [sic], saying we’re going to run out the white nationalists, people that don’t believe how we believe.”¹⁵

During the height of the COVID-19 pandemic in February 2021, UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres warned that white supremacist groups in Western nations were becoming a “transnational threat” and that the danger these groups posed was “growing daily.” During his address to the UN Human Rights Council, he said that “these extremist movements represent the number one internal security threat in several countries.”¹⁶ He did not name any specific countries during his remarks. It is apparent that anyone who speaks out against or expresses disagreement or resistance to the UN agenda is considered to be an enemy of the state. Endorsing limited government and exposing fraud, corruption and deceit or unmasking the true intentions of subversive globalists and international organizations is warped as an act of extremism.

As the UNSC becomes more involved in international affairs and disputes and adopts binding resolutions that *all* nation states *must* follow something with which they disagree, it will have crossed the Rubicon from an international organization to an imperial power actor. The UN system and its organs have rendered national governments subservient and impotent in the face of this coordinated effort to violate every principle and doctrine of human rights as set forth in their own charters. Citizens do not want unelected, international bureaucrats making decisions that will affect their lives in

¹⁴ *Luxury Beliefs and Energy Policy: The Fatal Conceit*. Forbes.com (2023). Retrieved in April 2023 from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/tilakdoshi/2023/04/15/luxury-beliefs-and-energy-policy-the-fatal-conceit/amp/>

¹⁵ *Tuberville on white nationalists in the military: I call them Americans*. Retrieved in May 2023 from <https://www.al.com/news/2023/05/tuberville-on-white-nationalists-in-the-military-i-call-them-americans.html>

¹⁶ *White supremacy a ‘transnational threat’ U.N. Chief warns*. Retrieved in May 2023 from <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-un-rights/white-supremacy-a-transnational-threat-u-n-chief-warns-idUSKBN2AM0NX>

significant and profound ways. That resolutions and official declarations from the UNSC *must* be adhered to and carry the inherent threat of military force to back them up and impose their will on anyone who disagrees or speaks out against them is a violation of the sovereignty of not just the U.S., but every free nation.

All rights reserved.

American Leadership & Policy Foundation
4050 Pennsylvania Ave, Ste 115 #265
Kansas City, MO 64111-3041

For more information on this blue paper or similar issues, visit: ALPF.org

About the Author:

Jason Kindree is a guest contributor at the American Leadership & Policy Foundation. His research focuses on geopolitical power and the global history of empires. He received his master's degree from the University of Ottawa, with a concentration on global policy networks.